IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated:- 26.04.2006

Coram:-

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.SATHASIVAM
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice J.A.K.SAMPATHKUMAR

Habeas Corpus Petition No.162 of 2006

Loorthusamy @ Kutti ... Petitioner

Vs.

1. State of Tamil Nadu,
rep. by its Secretary to Government,
Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and
District Magistrate,
Kanchipuram District,
Kanchipuram. ... Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in connection with detention order passed in B.D.F.G.I.S.V.No.1/06 dated 20.01.2006 on the file of second respondent herein and set aside the same and direct the respondents herein to produce the detenu Loorthusamy @ Kutti, S/o: Joseph, who is confined at Central Prison, Chennai.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Munirathinam Naidu

For Respondents: Mr.M.K.Subramanian
Govt. Advocate (Crl. Side)

WEB COPY

O R D E R

(Order of the Court was made by P.SATHASIVAM, J.)

The petitioner by name Loorthusamy @ Kutti, who was detained as a "Bootlegger" as contemplated under the Tamil Nadu Prevention of Dangerous Activities of Bootleggers, Drug Offenders, Forest Offenders, Goondas, Immoral Traffic Offenders, Slum Grabbers and Video Pirates Act, 1982(Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982), by the impugned

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

detention order dated 20.01.2006, challenges the same in this Petition.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned Government Advocate for the respondents.

3. At the foremost, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that there is enormous delay in disposal of the representation of the detenu, which vitiates the ultimate order of detention. With reference to the above claim, learned Government Advocate has placed the details, which show that the representation of the detenu dated 01.02.2006 was received by the Government on 15.02.2006 and remarks were called for on 17.02.2006. Thereafter, the remarks were received by the Government on 23.02.2006 and the File was submitted on 24.02.2006 and the same was dealt with by the Under Secretary and the Deputy Secretary on the same day i.e. on 24.02.2006 and finally, the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed orders on 27.02.2006. The rejection letter was prepared on 03.03.2006 and the same was sent to the detenu on 06.03.2006 and served to him on 07.03.2006. As rightly pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner, though the Minister for Prohibition and Excise passed an order on 27.02.2006, there is no explanation at all for taking time for preparation of rejection letter till 03.03.2006. In the absence of any explanation by the person concerned even after excluding the intervening holidays, we are of the view that the time taken for preparation of rejection letter is on the higher side and we hold that the said delay has prejudiced the detenu in disposal of his representation. On this ground, we quash the impugned order of detention.

4. Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the impugned order of detention is set aside. The detenu is directed to be set at liberty forthwith from the custody unless he is required in some other case or cause.

Sd/
Asst.Registrar

/true copy/

Sub Asst.Registrar

raa

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/

To

1. The Secretary to the Government, State of Tamil Nadu,Prohibition and Excise Department, Fort St. George, Chennai-600 009.

2. The District Collector and District Magistrate, Kanchipuram District, Kanchipuram.

3. The Superintendent, Central Prison, Chennai.
(In duplicate for communication to detenu)

4. The Joint Secretary to Government, Public (Law and Order)
Fort St. George, Chennai-9.

5. The Public Prosecutor, High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.T.Munirathinam Naidu, Advocate Sr 22186

AVA (CO)
km/4.5.

HCP. No.162 of 2006

WEB COPY

https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/